

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 :
B30B 11/02

A1

(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/27044

(43) International Publication Date: 31 July 1997 (31.07.97)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/01358

(22) International Filing Date: 22 January 1997 (22.01.97)

(30) Priority Data:
08/593,769 29 January 1996 (29.01.96) US

(71) Applicant: ALZA CORPORATION [US/US]; 950 Page Mill Road, P.O. Box 10950, Palo Alto, CA 94303-0802 (US).

(72) Inventor: LEWIS, David, A.; 974 Stone Pine Court, Fairfield, CA 94533 (US).

(74) Agents: MILLER, D., Byron et al.; Alza Corporation, 950 Page Mill Road, P.O. Box 10950, Palo Alto, CA 94303-0802 (US).

(81) Designated States: JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

Published


With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: TABLET PRESS MONITORING AND CONTROLLING METHOD AND APPARATUS

(57) Abstract

Compression forces (F) in a rotary tablet compressing machine (40) are monitored and controlled to provide enhanced accuracy in determining peak compression value and continuous validation of a compression waveform. The compression waveform is repetitively sampled under computer control at a sampling frequency that is many times the frequency of the compression events. The samples are stored in a computer memory (26) until at least one complete compression event is recorded. Identification of beginning and ending samples of an individual tablet compression event are obtained from the waveform itself by locating minimum amplitude samples, or by use of a separate signal that is derived from a transducer which is responsive to the angular position of a rotating turret. Stored samples representing the compression waveform as time and amplitude data are passed to a processor (23) that statistically fits the data to an equation from which represents the ideal shape of a compression event. If the quality of the data fit falls below a preset value, the tablet associated with that coefficient is subsequently rejected by a mechanism that is activated by the computer (26), which records the event as a waveform error. In such case, the maximum force is deemed to be statistically unreliable and is not used as data for the tablet weight control portion of the tableting system. A counter (25), having a user selectable maximum threshold, is incremented each time a tablet is rejected from the same punch pair as a result of a waveform error. If the maximum count threshold is reached, then the tablet compressing machine (40) is stopped by the computer (26) and a message identifying the faulty punch pair is displayed on an operator interface (30).



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

TABLET PRESS MONITORING AND CONTROLLING METHOD AND APPARATUS

TECHNICAL FIELD

5

The invention relates to the production of tablets in a rotary tablet compressing machine. More particularly, the invention relates to monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine.

10

BACKGROUND ART

The making of medicinal tablets by compression of powders, dry or treated, is an old art and satisfactory machinery for making such tablets has long been available. At this time, rotary presses are commonly in use, in which
15 powders or other materials that can be formed into tablets are placed into one of a plurality of generally cylindrical dies that are mounted within a rotary die holding turret. A pair of opposed cam operated punches compress the powder from both ends of each tablet forming die, and thereby compact the powder into an individual tablet. The rotary turret arrangement allows a plurality of punch
20 and die sets to produce tablets continuously around the circular path followed by the rotary press by sequentially contacting an arrangement of cams above and below the turret that lift and lower the punches. In modern tablet press machines, pharmaceutical tablets are produced at rates as high as 12,000 tablets per minute. See, for example Knoechel *et al*, US Patent 3,255,716.

25

It is highly desirable that all tablets prepared by rotary tablet press mechanisms be of uniform and precisely controlled size and weight. This is especially true for medicinal tablets because carefully prescribed dosage amounts are difficult to achieve without accurate tablet size and weight control.

Inaccuracies in tablet size and weight stem from a variety of different circumstances, but most commonly result from the uneven introduction of the powders into the die and punch combination. Inaccuracies can also result from imperfections or wear in the tablet press or die elements, or from changes in the
5 density or moisture content of the powder being compressed.

It is known in the art to evaluate the weight of compressed tablets and thereby determine if such tablets are defective. Generally, individual tablets are monitored by evaluating the compression between the punches during tablet
10 formation. Overweight tablets, resulting from excessive powder or granular material placed between the opposing punches, produce higher than normal compacting forces. Similarly, underweight tablets, resulting from a smaller than normal quantity of powder or granular material between the opposing punches, produce less than normal compressive forces between the opposing punches.

15

Tablet press mechanisms also typically include a structure for removing the formed tablet from the punches and dies. Normally, rotary tablet press mechanisms include a second cam system that causes the lower punches to lift the formed tablet to the surface of the rotating turret after compression. A blade
20 or the like is disposed slightly above the rotating turret at a location that intersects the path of the dies. The blade then scrapes the tablets from the turret to a discharge chute. Often, such blade mechanisms are combined with a reject gate. Defective tablets are detected through analysis of the punch forces, and a pneumatic air jet is timed to deflect defective tablets away from the blade into a
25 reject chute

The measurement of compression forces for tablet weight monitoring and control in rotary tablet press mechanisms is thought to have originated with

Knoechel *et al, ibid.* Since that time, many tablet press manufacturers have developed monitoring and control systems based upon the measurement of the peak compression force obtained from each tablet compression event. See, for example Williams, US Patent 4,099,239 (selectively applying tablet formation information to a converting means to render the selected information in a convenient form for processing); Stiel, et al US Patent 4,100,598 (instrumenting a tablet press to derive peak compression force and peak ejection force in digital form); Stiel, US Patent 4,121,289 (using a transducer to effect a signal output indicative of the compression force developed for each tableting event); Williams, US Patent 4,030,868 (selectively applying tablet formation information to a converting means to render the selected information in a convenient form for processing); Breen, et al US Patent 4,570,229 (a data word having a magnitude indicative of a compression signal is processed in a preestablished manner to detect if the value of the data word exceeds or fails to exceed preestablished limits); and Hinzpeter, US Patent 4,062,914 (a mean value generator produces a mean pressing force value while a logic control unit causes preset individual limit values for the pressing force signals to track fluctuation in the mean value, where the instantaneous pressing force signals are compared with the individual limit values to control machine operation).

20

Such known systems typically use one of the two following methods for measurement of the peak compression force:

A peak detector circuit that stores the maximum electrical signal from a force transducer. In this circuit, the peak is digitized by an analog-to-digital converter and the peak detector circuit is subsequently reset by a signal that is synchronized to the tablet press rotation. See, for example Lewis, US Patent 4,817,006.

25

A circuit that triggers an analog-to-digital converter at a time which is coincident with the maximum pressing force. A trigger signal is derived from a rotary encoder that supplies pulse in synchronism with the rotation of the tablet press. See, for example Hinzpeter, et al US Patent 5,145,693, and Hinzpeter, et al US Patent 5,223,192.

Both of the above methods are highly susceptible to inaccuracies that are caused by electrical noise and interference, and by mechanical defects that are frequently present in actual tablet pressing environments. These inaccuracies become far more significant at the low compression forces that are normally used for the compression of a first layer in a bilayer tablet (for a discussion of the manufacture of bilayer tablets, see Ebey, US Patent 5,322,655).

The compression of a tablet can be graphically illustrated as a roughly bell-shaped plot of compression force versus time. See for example, Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 18th Edition, (1990), p 1653 (Fig 89-29). Because electrical interference is stored as part of the peak value by both of the above methods, both methods are easily corrupted in an actual tablet pressing environment. Both of these methods are incapable of distinguishing between a *bona fide* compression waveform and electrical interference because they are responsive to the input signal at only one instant in time. Similarly, both methods are incapable of detecting mechanical defects, such as the punch striking the side of the die upon entry, or defects in the punch heads and/or compression rolls, since these defects typically do not cause peak compression forces or because the defects (eg, the punch striking the opening of the die) do not occur at the same time as the peak compression force.

The method disclosed in US Patents 5,145,693 and 5,223,192 requires precise timing of the trigger signal if reasonable accuracy is to be obtained. Manufacturing tolerances of various parts of the tablet press and coupling to the rotary encoder can cause displacement of the trigger signal with respect to the peak amplitude of the pressing force. Such misregistration leads to further errors. Both methods allow the signals representing machine rotation to be incorrectly timed with respect to the compression signal, thereby causing gross errors. It would therefore be advantageous to provide a method of monitoring pressing forces which overcomes the limitations of the prior art.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides a method and apparatus for more accurately monitoring compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine and controlling the operation of the machine based upon the monitored compression forces. The invention provides a system that distinguishes between genuine compression signals and electrical noise, and that has the ability to detect mechanical defects of the tablet press or punches, such as:

- upper punch striking the die upon entry;
- pressure overload in tablet presses equipped with an overload release mechanism;
- imperfections in punch heads, compression rolls, and roll bearings;
- and
- sticking punches.

The invention also provides enhanced accuracy in determining peak compression value and continuous validation of the compression waveform. Because validation of the compression data obtained from a tablet press that is

used to produce pharmaceutical tablets is of extreme importance when the data are used to control the weight of the tablet, and hence the quantity of the drug contained therein, such continuous validation of the compression data includes verification of the correct phase and shape of the compression curve.

5

The present invention concerns a method and apparatus for monitoring a compression event in a tablet compressing. The method includes sampling at least a portion of a compression event waveform, statistically fitting said sampled waveform to an ideal compression event waveform, and altering the operation of
10 either: (i) the tablet compressing machine; (ii) a machine associated with the operation of the tablet compressing machine; or both (i) and (ii); based upon the quality of the fit between the sampled waveform and the ideal waveform. The apparatus of the present invention includes at least one data collection channel for sampling at least a portion of a compression event waveform, a processor
15 for statistically fitting said sampled waveform to an equation representing an ideal compression event waveform, and means for altering the operation of either: (i) the tablet compressing machine; (ii) a machine associated with the operation of said tablet compressing machine; or both (i) and (ii); based upon quality of the fit between the sampled waveform and the ideal waveform.

20

In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the compression waveform is repetitively sampled (ie, the compression force and the time of the force measurement are measured) under computer control at a sampling frequency that is many times the frequency of the compression events, such that each
25 compression event, or any portion thereof, is sampled at least 5 times, preferably at least 50 times, and most preferably at least 100 times. The sampling frequency may be adjusted by the computer in proportion to the tablet press speed, such that the number of samples taken for each compression event is

substantially equal. The samples are stored in a computer memory until at least one substantially complete compression event waveform is recorded.

Identification of the beginning and ending of the tablet compression event may be obtained from the waveform itself by locating the minimum recorded
5 compression forces, or by use of a separate signal that is derived from a transducer responsive to the angular position of the rotating press turret.

The stored data samples, representing the compression waveform as time and compression force amplitude data, are passed to a processor that
10 statistically fits the data to an equation form which represents the ideal compression waveform shape of the compression event being monitored. If the quality of the data fit falls below a preset value, the compression event is considered to be defective (ie, a waveform error) and the operation of (i) the tablet compressing machine itself, or (ii) equipment associated with the operation
15 of the tablet compressing machine, is altered. The alteration of machine operation may take any of several forms, but most commonly will be one or more of the following three forms;

(1) the tablet associated with that compression event is subsequently rejected;

20 (2) a counter, having a user selectable maximum threshold, is incremented each time a tablet is rejected from the same punch pair as a result of a waveform error and if the maximum count threshold is reached within some predetermined interval (eg, 3 tablet rejections within 5 operations of the punch pair), then a message identifying the faulty punch pair is displayed on an
25 operator interface, and optionally the compressing machine is stopped; and/or

(3) the sampled compression data is deemed to be statistically unreliable and is not used to control or adjust, via a conventional feedback

control loop, the amount of fill introduced into the dies of the tablet compressing machine by a filling mechanism for subsequent tablet compressions.

The term "compression event" used herein refers to a single displacement
5 cycle of a punch, or a pair of punches, relative to the respective die associated with that punch or pair of punches, during the compression of a tablet in a tablet compressing machine. In general, the compression event begins at a minimum compression force, leading to a maximum compression force, and ending with another minimum compression force. conventional homogenous or single layer
10 tablets are subjected to only a single complete compression event. Multilayer tablets, on the other hand, are subjected to a plurality of complete compression events, the number of compression events generally corresponding to the number of discrete layers in the final tablet.

15 The term "multilayer tablet" refers to tablets which are compressed using a plurality of complete compression events (ie, tablets having two or more layers produced by two or more successive and complete compression steps). The different layers of a multilayer tablet are formed using non-uniform compression forces. All layers except the last-formed layer in a multilayer tablet are
20 compressed at much lower compression forces, referred to in the art as "tamping" forces, compared to the compression forces used to form the final tablet layer.

The device and method of the present invention have particular utility in
25 controlling tablet presses which operate at lower compression forces. Lower compression forces are most typically used in compression events associated with the formation of all but the final layer of multilayer tablets. The initial layer(s) of multilayer tablets are typically compressed at tamping forces of less than

about 1.3 kilo-Newtons (kN) (300 lbs). With low tamping compression forces, greater sensitivity to the measurement of the compression waveform shape is needed since variations in the compression waveform shape, which might not significantly affect tablets (or layer(s) of multilayer tablets) made using high
5 compression forces, will have a proportionately greater effect on the quality of multilayer tablets made at low tamping compression forces.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 Fig. 1 is a block schematic diagram of a system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine according to the invention;

Fig. 2 is a flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring
15 and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine according to the invention;

Fig. 3 is a partial flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing
20 machine according to an alternative embodiment of the invention;

Fig. 4 is a partial flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine according to another embodiment of the invention;

25

Fig. 5 is a graph plotting an ideal waveform showing force versus time for a series of compression events for a series of punch pairs in a tablet compressing machine;

Fig. 6 is a graph plotting a distorted waveform for a compression event in which an upper punch strikes the die upon entry;

5 Fig. 7 is a graph showing the fitting of the distorted waveform of Fig. 6 to the ideal waveform of Fig. 5;

Fig. 8 is a graph plotting a distorted waveform for a tablet press in overload; and

10

Fig. 9 is a graph showing the fitting of the distorted waveform of Fig. 8 to the ideal waveform of Fig. 5.

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

15

The invention provides a method and apparatus for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a tablet compressing machine, such as a rotary tablet compressing machine. The invention distinguishes between genuine compression signals and electrical noise, and has the ability to detect

20 mechanical defects of the tablet press or punches, such as:

mechanical interference between the punch and the die, or between the punch and the punch guide, such as upper punch striking the die upon entry;

25 pressure overload in tablet presses equipped with an overload release mechanism;

imperfections in punch heads, compression rolls, and roll bearings;

and

sticking punches.

The invention also provides enhanced accuracy in determining peak compression value and continuous validation of the compression waveform, where such continuous validation of the compression data includes verification of the correct phase and shape of the compression curve.

Fig. 1 is a block schematic diagram of a system 10 for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine 40 according to the invention. The compressing machine 40 includes at least one data collection channel 21 that consists of a strain gauge comprised of a Wheatstone bridge circuit 14 to convert the compression force F , applied to the punches in the compressing machine, into proportional voltage signals V . These signals are coupled to an amplifier 16 that increases the signal to a level that is suitable for digitizing. The amplified signal is then coupled to an anti-aliasing filter 18 which limits the force sensing bandwidth to a maximum of one-half of the digitizing frequency in accordance with the Nyquist limit. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the cut off frequency of the anti-aliasing filter is adjustable, for example by use of a software module or by use of mechanical means, each of which is well known in the art.

20

While the exemplary embodiment of the invention shows a strain gauge, the invention may also be practiced with such alternative force transducers as piezo crystals and displacement transducers. For example, the invention may be applied to tablet presses that provide a substantially constant force to a complement of pressure rolls by means of a spring or fluid/air pressure. In such presses, the pressure rolls move apart when material is compressed, whereas in constant thickness machines these rollers are fixed. In such case, a displacement transducer may be substituted for the strain gauge. This

25

transducer may output a digitized signal (ie, a linear encoder), thereby eliminating the analog front end portion of the system described above.

The filtered signal is coupled to a multiplexer circuit 20 that is capable of
5 selecting more than one force measurement channel 21, where each channel includes a strain gauge comprised of a Wheatstone bridge, an amplifier, and an anti-aliasing filter. The multiplexer is an optional feature of the invention. In those embodiments of the invention that include a multiplexer, it is expected that the multiplexer is controlled by the system software. The presently preferred
10 data collection channel has been described above. However, it is anticipated that the invention can be readily used with other data collection devices, such as are known or will become known in the art.

The output of the multiplexer is coupled to an analog-to-digital (A/D)
15 converter 22 that continuously digitizes the selected inputs of the multiplexer. A clock (CLK) 29 is used to trigger the A/D converter 22 at a clock frequency that may be controlled by the system software. A processor 23, which in the preferred embodiment of the invention is a digital signal processor having a sufficient memory 24 to implement the system software, provides system control
20 and data processing of the digitized output signal.

A general purpose computer 26 is coupled to the processor 23 and provides an input/output (I/O) interface 27 that allows operator command entry, for example through a keyboard 28, as well a display of system status
25 information, for example through a display 30.

In the compressing machine 40, a stepper motor 42 is controlled by the output signal from processor 23. The stepper motor 42 controls the tablet press

metering ramp (not shown in Fig. 1) which controls the amount of powder fed into the dies. Thus the processor 23, via the stepper motor 42 and the metering ramp, can adjust the quantity of material placed in the compressing machine dies. A tablet reject device 45 is also controlled by the processor 23, and is used
5 to separate defective tablets into a reject container (not shown). A counter 25, having a user selectable maximum threshold, is incremented each time a tablet is consecutively rejected from the same punch pair. If the maximum count threshold is reached within some predetermined number of consecutive compressions, then the operator is notified of the defective punch pair by means
10 of a message on the display 30 which is generated by the computer 26. In addition, the tablet press motor 41 may be stopped by an electrical relay 46 that interrupts the supply of electrical power from power supply 47 to the motor 41 in response to a control signal from the processor 23. Thus, the tablet compressing machine is stopped and the defective punch pair is identified to the
15 operator, enabling repairs to be efficiently made without the need for extensive trouble shooting.

The compressing machine 40 also includes a first proximity sensor 43 that provides an electrical impulse signal to the processor 23 at the passage of each
20 punch barrel as the turret of the tablet press rotates. An optional second proximity sensor 44 provides an electrical impulse to the processor 23 at the passage of a single object located on the circumference of the turret. This signal occurs only once during each revolution of the tablet press turret. In alternative embodiments of the invention, the proximity sensors may be replaced with such
25 devices as rotary encoders, where the angular position of the turret is sensed, or with other sensors for use with linear systems.

In some applications of the invention, it may be undesirable to check for compression waveform defects at lower force levels. For example, this may be necessary to allow a tablet press to continue production with worn punch guides that may cause high forces as the punch enters the die. To accommodate this
5 feature, the system may allow the operator to provide any of a plurality of user selectable offsets and sample lengths (number of samples) to be applied prior to fitting the data to the function, for example via the keyboard 28, such that waveform distortions (ie, sections along the actual waveform that poorly fit the ideal waveform shape) are "ignored" by the system with the result that these
10 waveform distortions do not result in an alteration (eg, tablet rejection, operator signaled about defective punch pair, etc) of the operation of the tablet compressing machine. Alternatively, other fitting functions may be provided to accommodate the waveform generated by such worn compressing machine parts.

15

Fig. 2 is a flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine according to the invention. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the compression waveform is repetitively sampled under computer control at a
20 sampling frequency that is many times the frequency of the compression events. The number of samples taken along the length of each compression event should be sufficient to result in a true and relatively accurate curve of compression force versus time. In general, the number of samples taken should be at least about 5 since fewer samples will at best produce only an
25 approximation of the actual compression waveform shape. Preferably, the number of samples taken during a compression event is at least 50, and most preferably at least 100. The sampling frequency may be adjusted by the

computer in proportion to the tablet press speed, such that the number of samples taken for each compression event is substantially equal.

The samples are stored (110) in a computer memory until at least one
5 complete compression event is recorded (120). Identification of beginning and ending samples (130) of an individual tablet compression event are obtained from the waveform itself by locating minimum amplitude samples, or by use of a separate signal that is derived from a transducer responsive to the angular position of a rotating turret.

10

Stored samples representing the compression waveform as time and compression force amplitude data are passed to a processor that statistically fits the data (140) to an equation form (150) which represents the ideal waveform shape of the compression event.

15

Substantially any equation or other mathematical means which is effective to statistically compare the sampled waveform to the ideal waveform can be used to do the statistical fitting step. In general, the statistical fitting uses a mathematical equation which can be processed by the processor at sufficient
20 speed to allow multiple samples of the time and compression force data to be taken and analyzed during the compression event in order to control subsequent operation of the tablet press or equipment associated with the operation of the tablet press, such as a tablet reject mechanism. For conventional rotary tablet presses, the preferred equation form is the lowest order polynomial that is
25 capable of providing a good fit for a given tablet press geometry and the characteristics of the material being compressed. It should be appreciated that it is not necessary to fit the entire compression event waveform to the desired function. However, in addition to the area of the waveform peak, a substantial

number of data samples on the ascending and descending portions of the waveform should be used to preserve the accuracy of the peak amplitude and position computation. Furthermore, other curve fitting techniques may be used in practicing the invention, eg, cubic spline fitting; and equations of non-
5 polynomial form may be used, for example where a function is applied to the data prior to fitting.

For a tablet press having circular compression rolls and flat headed punches, the compression curve can usually be defined by a third order
10 polynomial:

$$y = a + b_1x + b_2x^2 + b_3x^3 \quad (1)$$

where y = force amplitude; and
15 where x = time or turret rotation angle.

The third order polynomial form allow for the normally different rates of force application and force decline at the leading and trailing portions of the waveform. An important aspect of this invention is that the order of the
20 polynomial should be chosen to provide a good fit only for that data which represents an ideal compression curve, such that a statistical evaluation of the residuals can be used at a later stage to identify a non-ideal compression event, for example as is caused by interference or mechanical faults. Such residuals may be analyzed by any technique that quantifies the magnitude of the
25 residuals, for example a summation of the unsigned values of the residuals.

The preferred technique for fitting the data to the polynomial form is the method of least squares which fits a regression line to the data. This method

calculates polynomial coefficients that minimize the mean square error for the entire waveform data set. Implementation of this method in software for a third order polynomial involves solving the following system of normal equations:

$$\Sigma y = na + b_1 (\Sigma x) + b_2 (\Sigma x^2) + b_3 (\Sigma x^3) \quad (2)$$

$$\Sigma xy = a(\Sigma x) + b_1 (\Sigma x^2) + b_2 (\Sigma x^3) + b_3 (\Sigma x^4) \quad (3)$$

$$\Sigma x^2 y = a(\Sigma x^2) + b_1 (\Sigma x^3) + b_2 (\Sigma x^4) + b_3 (\Sigma x^5) \quad (4)$$

$$\Sigma x^3 y = a(\Sigma x^3) + b_1 (\Sigma x^4) + b_2 (\Sigma x^5) + b_3 (\Sigma x^6) \quad (5)$$

These equations can be readily solved by a variety of well known computer algorithms, such as lower/upper (LU) decomposition or Gaussian elimination.

In addition to calculating the polynomial coefficients, the system calculates how closely the data fit the ideal waveform function by calculating the coefficient of determination, which is denoted as r^2 . The system may also calculate a coefficient of correlation to determine the independence of the data and the ideal waveform, or how closely they fit. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the coefficients of determination and correlation can be substituted by any statistical measure of residuals, which are defined as:

$$f(x_i) - y_i \quad (6)$$

where f is the fitting function; and

where y_i is an array of waveform data points.

The square root of the coefficient of determination is called the coefficient
5 of correlation, which is calculated from the formula:

$$r = \frac{n(\sum xy) - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{[(n(\sum x^2) - (\sum x)^2)^{1/2} \cdot (n(\sum y^2) - (\sum y)^2)^{1/2}] \quad (7)$$

If the coefficient of determination falls below a preset value (160), the
10 tablet associated with that coefficient is subsequently rejected (170) by a
mechanism that is activated by the computer 26 (Fig. 1), which records the event
(180) as a waveform error. In such case, the maximum force is deemed to be
statistically unreliable and, if desired, is not used as data for the tablet weight
control loop portion of the tableting control system. The counter 25 (Fig. 1),
15 having a user selectable maximum threshold, is incremented (190) each time a
tablet is consecutively rejected from the same punch pair as a result of a
waveform error. If the maximum count threshold is reached (200), then the
tablet press is stopped (210) by the computer 26 and a message identifying the
faulty punch pair is displayed on an operator interface 30. Otherwise, machine
20 operation continues uninterrupted (220).

Heretofore, the apparatus and method of the present invention have been
described in uses wherein either a compressed tablet is accepted or rejected or
an operator is signaled concerning a defect in a punch and die combination.
25 However, the method and apparatus of the present invention may also be used
to adjust subsequent filling operations. In automated rotary tablet presses, the
amount of solid particulate material fed into a die before compression may be
adjusted based upon one or a plurality of previous compression events via a

feedback control loop. Feedback control of tablet press die filling is well known in the prior art. See for example, Knoechel et al, US Patent 3,255,716. Similar feedback control loops may be used with the present invention in order to adjust the amount of solid particulate material fed to the dies in subsequent
5 compression events. In using the apparatus and method of the present invention in order to adjust die filling, the recorded force amplitude data from the compression event is stored, and used to adjust subsequent filling of dies, only if the quality of the data fit of the sampled waveform to the ideal waveform is above some predetermined level. Thus, if the sampled waveform has a poor fit
10 to the ideal waveform, the sampled waveform data is not used to adjust subsequent filling of dies via the feedback control loop.

Fig. 3 is a partial flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing
15 machine according to an alternative embodiment of the invention that provides a second, preset minimum threshold (300) for the coefficient of determination, where such second threshold is set to a lower value than the first threshold (160). The lower threshold represents a waveform error associated with the tablet manufacturing mechanism, such as an error that is consistent with a
20 partially broken punch or serious deformation of the punch heads and pressure rolls. If the coefficient of determination falls below this lower threshold, the system stops the tablet press immediately (330). This action prevents further damage to the tablet press and/or tooling. Otherwise, system operation continues uninterrupted (310; 320)

25

One advantage of a functional representation of the compression waveform data is that elementary calculus may be used to determine such characteristics as rate of change (first derivative), points of inflection (second

derivative), and area under the compression curve (integral). To achieve maximum accuracy for the measurement of peak amplitude, the fitted function of the compression waveform is differentiated with respect to turret rotation (time), and the resulting equation is solved. The root of this differentiated equation that yields a positive result for the function is therefore the turret angular position (or time) at which the pressing force is at a maximum. The peak compression force is calculated by obtaining the value of the waveform function at this position.

The preferred method of finding the root of the first derivative in the case of a third order waveform function is a processor module that applies the quadratic formula:

$$x = (-b \pm (b^2 - 4ac)^{1/2}) / 2a \quad (8)$$

where the form of the second order function is $ax^2 + bx + c$.

Fig. 4 is a partial flow diagram showing operation of the system for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a rotary tablet compressing machine according to another embodiment of the invention. Such embodiment validates the compression waveform by comparing (410) the calculated angular position of the maximum pressing force (400), for example as determined by a strain gauge 12 (discussed above), to the angular position of the punches (420), as determined by a separate signal that is derived from a transducer 60 which is responsive to the angular position of the rotating turret. Such comparison produces a position error signal (430) that is then compared (450) to a preset maximum limit (440) which allows for normal mechanical tolerances. If this limit is exceeded (460), the tablet associated with the excess error is subsequently rejected (480) by a mechanism that is activated by the system. The system

records the event (490) as a waveform error, and processes the error in an identical manner as that for the low coefficient of determination limit described above. Otherwise, system operation continues uninterrupted (470).

5 A counter 25 (see Fig. 1), having a user selectable maximum threshold, is incremented (500) each time a tablet is consecutively rejected from the same punch pair as a result of a waveform error. If the maximum count threshold is reached (510), then the tablet press is stopped (520) by the computer 26 and a message identifying the faulty punch pair is displayed on an operator interface
10 30. Otherwise, machine operation continues uninterrupted (530).

Fig. 5 is a graph plotting an ideal waveform showing force versus time for a series of compression events for a series of punch pairs in a tablet compressing machine, where each compression event is represented by a
15 curve. In operation, the technique herein disclosed fits data obtained during an actual compression event to such ideal curve. If the data fit the curve with a predetermined level of accuracy, then the compression event is considered to be within an acceptable range and the operation of the tablet compressing machine continues uninterrupted.

20

The ability to detect and identify mechanical defects of the tablet press or punches depends upon analysis of the amplitude deviations of the waveform from the least squares fitted function, ie, the residuals, which are defined as:

25
$$R_i = f(x_i) - y_i \quad (9)$$

where f is the fitting function; and

y_i is an array of waveform data points (as discussed above).

The residual R_i is therefore the difference between the calculated value derived from the fitted function and the measured value or amplitude of the corresponding point of the waveform, ie, the deviation or distortion from the normal or ideal shape. Software routines may analyze the magnitude and sign of the residuals in specific portions, eg, phase angles, of the waveform to identify various defects that include the following:

10

Upper Punch Striking the Die Upon Entry

Mechanical interference between the upper punch and die surface or wall produces a compression waveform having a sharp rise followed by a sharp decline on the leading edge of the remainder of the waveform which follows the normal pattern. This spike is a result of the high force required for upper punch die entry. Software can detect this defect by comparing the sum of the residuals (or a single residual) within a selected portion of the leading edge of the waveform with an adjustable threshold. If the threshold is exceeded, the tablet press is stopped and an appropriate message is displayed on the operator display 30.

20

Fig. 6 is a graph plotting a distorted waveform for a compression event in which an upper punch strikes the die upon entry. It can be seen that the punch strike produces a signature spike 50 in the data curve. Fig. 7 is a graph showing the fitting of the distorted waveform of Fig. 6 to the ideal waveform of Fig. 5. In the figure, the data obtained during a compression event are fitted to the ideal curve and the manufacturing defect, in this case the upper punch striking the die upon entry, ie, the spike 50, is readily identified. The device and method of the

25

present invention identifies such defects and, in addition to either rejecting the tablet or stopping operation of the tablet compressing machine, indicates the precise nature of the machine defect to a machine operator, such that proper service and maintenance may be implemented without the need for time consuming trouble shooting. Such defects can be logged, such that an electronic record of machine events is automatically created.

Pressure Overload in Tablet Presses Equipped with an Overload Release Mechanism

10

Tablet presses equipped with an overload release mechanism provide an upper limit on the compression force that can be applied by allowing the compression rollers to move apart if the overload limit is exceeded. When this occurs, the peak compression force no longer correlates to the tablet weight and, consequently, weight control that is based upon force measurement is corrupted.

Overload release tends to flatten the peak of the compression waveform. Software can detect this flattening effect by comparing the sum of the residuals, or a function thereof, within a selection portion of the peak of the waveform with an adjustable threshold. If the threshold is exceeded, the tablet press is stopped and an appropriate message is displayed on the operator display. It should be noted that the sign of the threshold should correspond to waveform samples that are lower in amplitude than the calculated (fitted) amplitude.

Fig. 8 is a graph plotting a distorted waveform for a tablet press in overload. It can be seen that a tablet press overload produces a signature flattening of the curve 52 in the data curve. Fig. 9 is a graph showing the fitting of the distorted waveform of Fig. 8 to the ideal waveform of Fig. 5. In the figure,

the data obtained during a compression event are fitted to the ideal curve and the manufacturing defect, in this case a tablet press overload, ie, the flattening of the curve 52, is readily identified.

5 Those skilled in the tablet compressing arts will appreciate that the device and method of the present invention may be used to identify other defects which result in an abnormal compression waveform shape, including, but not limited to, punch head and compression roll defects, such as embedded metal particles or a partially broken or deformed punch and/or die surface, partially broken
10 punches or dies, compression roll defects, roll bearing defects, defective pressure roll bearings, mechanical interference between the punch and die, or between the punch and the punch guide, sticking punches (which require a high initial force as they are displaced by the compression rollers and, therefore, produce a waveform that is similar to that which is representative of punch to die
15 interference, however, the spike on the waveform for a sticking punch occurs in advance of punch tip to die contact), electrical interference caused by other machinery or by radio telecommunication signals (eg, cellular phones), and displacement of the angular position of the peak (ie, maximum amplitude) of the compression waveform.

20

 The apparatus and method of the present invention is particularly useful for monitoring and controlling compression events in so-called low compression
tableting operations, in particular the compression of multilayer tablets (eg, tablets comprised of two or more separate and distinct layers). Multilayer
25 pharmaceutical tablets and multilayer tablet presses used to make such tablets are well known to those skilled in the tablet making art. See for example Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 18th Ed. (1990), Mack Publishing Company, page 1652. Commercial multilayer rotary tablet machines are

generally capable of producing tablets having 1, 2 or 3 layers. Stratified tablets offer a number of advantages. Incompatible drugs can be formed into a single tablet by separating the layers containing them with a layer of inert material. Multilayer tableting has also permitted the formulation of time-delay and timed-release medication. One particularly useful multilayer tablet configuration uses a bilayer core comprised of a drug-containing layer and a hydrophilic push layer. The core is then coated with a semipermeable membrane which is permeable to water but impermeable to the drug. An exit orifice is then drilled through the membrane in the side of the tablet which is adjacent to the drug-containing layer. Such dosage forms are disclosed for example in Wong et al. U.S. Patent 4,783,337 and can be used to deliver both water soluble and water insoluble drugs over extended periods of time and at zero-order rates during passage of the dosage form through a patient's gastro-intestinal tract. In addition, multilayer tableting offers a wide variety of possibilities in developing color combinations which give the products identify. In the machines now available for multilayer production, the granulation receives a precompression (ie, tamping) stroke after the first and second fill, which lightly compacts the granulation and maintains a well-defined boundary between the two layers, followed by a final compression stroke at full compression forces. Other multiple-compression presses can receive previously compressed tablets and then compress another granulation around the preformed tablet, also referred to as press-coated tablets. Such press-coated tablets can be used to separate incompatible drug substances and also to give an enteric coating to the core tablet.

In general, a conventional tablet, formed with a single compression stroke, is typically manufactured at a compression force in the range of 18 to 27 kN (4000 to 6000 lbs). With these high compression forces, variations in the

compressing force of less than 1 kN (200 lbs) usually results in negligible variation in the amount of drug contained in the tablet.

On the other hand, multilayer tablets are more typically produced at
5 compression forces ranging from about 0.3 to 1 kN (75 to 200 lbs). In such low
compression processes, a variation of for example 0.2 kN (50 lbs) produces
unacceptable variation in the amount of drug contained within the various tablet
layers. This is due to the fact that the relation between tablet weight and
compression force is exponential. In other words, at low compression forces, a
10 particular weight variation from tablet to tablet results in an exponentially lower
compression force variation as compared to the same weight variation at higher
compression forces. For example, assuming a tablet weight variation of 5 mg,
the variation in the compression force (ΔF) at a high compression force of 18 kN
(4000 lbs) is about 2.5 kN (500 lbs), while at a low compression force of 1 kN
15 (100 lbs) the ΔF is only about 0.02 kN (5 lbs). Such a low ΔF is very easily lost in
any noise present in the data of conventional tablet press controllers. Thus,
there is clearly a need for more precise control of compression forces in tablet
presses making multilayer tablets. For this reason, the present invention has
particular utility in the manufacture of multilayer tablets.

Claims

1. A system (10) for monitoring and controlling a compression event in a tablet compressing machine (40), comprising:
- 5 at least one data collection channel (21) for continuously sampling a compression event waveform;
- an analog-to-digital (A/D) converter (22) that continuously digitizes data collected by said data collection channel;
- a processor (23) for data processing of said digitized signal,
- 10 wherein said processor statistically fits said data to an equation form that represents an ideal shape of said compression event; and
- means (45) adapted to reject a tablet formed in said tablet compressing machine if said data fit quality falls below a preset value, wherein a value determined from said data for said compression event is deemed to be
- 15 statistically unreliable.
2. The system of Claim 1, further comprising:
- means (25) for recording said compression event as a waveform error if said data fit quality falls below said preset value.
- 20
3. The system of either of Claims 1 and 2, further comprising:
- a counter (25), having a user selectable maximum threshold, wherein said counter is incremented each time a tablet is consecutively rejected as a result of a waveform error; and wherein operation of said tablet
- 25 compressing machine is stopped if said maximum count threshold is reached.

4. The system of any of Claims 1 to 3, further comprising:
means (23, 42), controlled by said processor, for adjusting a tablet
press metering ramp.

5 5. The system of any of Claims 1 to 4, further comprising:
a tablet reject device (45), controlled by the processor, adapted to
separate defective tablets into a rejected tablet container.

6. The system of any of Claims 1 to 5, further comprising:
10 a first sensor (43) that is adapted to provide an electrical impulse to
said processor at each punch barrel passage.

7. The system of any of Claims 1 to 6, further comprising:
a second sensor (44) that is adapted to provide an electrical
15 impulse to said processor at each passage of a single object located on a
circumference of a tablet press turret.

8. The system of any of Claims 1 to 7, further comprising:
a general purpose computer (26), coupled to said processor, for
20 providing an input/output (I/O) interface that allows operator command entry and
display of system status information.

9. The system of any of Claims 1 to 8, further comprising:
means (, 26, 28) for providing at least one user selectable offset
25 and/or sample length, prior to fitting said data to said equation, such that
waveform distortion at predetermined portions of said compression event does
not result in a poor fit to said equation; and

means (26, 28) for alternatively applying other fitting functions to accommodate a waveform generated by worn compressing machine parts.

10. The system of any of Claims 1 to 9, said data collection channel
5 further comprising:

a strain gauge (12), coupled to a Wheatstone bridge circuit (14), to convert the compression force F , applied to punches in said tablet compressing machine, into proportional voltage signals V ;

an amplifier (16), coupled to receive said voltage signals V , said
10 amplifier increasing said signals to a level that is suitable for digitizing;

an anti-aliasing filter (18) for receiving said amplified signals, said anti-aliasing filter limiting a force sensing bandwidth to a maximum of one-half of a digitizing frequency.

15 11. The system of any of Claims 1 to 10, further comprising:

a multiplexer circuit (20) that is capable of selecting more than one data collection channel.

12. The system of any of Claims 1 to 11, wherein said statistical fitting
20 employs a method of least squares which fits a regression line to said data by calculating polynomial coefficients that minimize a mean square error for an entire waveform data set.

13. A method for monitoring and controlling compression forces in a
25 tablet compressing machine (40), comprising the steps of:

continuously sampling a compression waveform;

continuously storing said samples until at least one complete compression event is stored;

obtaining a beginning and an ending of an individual compression event from said waveform;

statistically fitting said data to an equation form that represents an ideal shape of a compression event.

5

14. The method of Claim 13, wherein said equation form is a lowest order polynomial that is capable of providing a good fit for a given tablet press geometry and characteristics of a material being compressed.

10

15. The method of either of Claims 13 and 14, further comprising the step of:

defining said compression waveform by a third order polynomial:

$$y = a + b_1x + b_2x^2 + b_3x^3$$

15

where y = force amplitude; and

where x = time or turret rotation angle.

20

16. The method of any of Claims 13 to 15, wherein the order of said polynomial is chosen to provide a good fit only for that data which represents an ideal compression curve, such that a statistical evaluation of residuals can be used at a later stage to identify a non-ideal compression event.

25

17. The method of any of Claims 13 to 16, wherein said step for statistically fitting said data to an equation form is a method of least squares, said method of least squares calculating polynomial coefficients that minimize a mean square error for an entire waveform data set.

18. The method of any of Claims 13 to 17, further comprising the step of:
calculating how closely said data fit said ideal waveform equation
by calculating a coefficient of determination r^2 , wherein said coefficient of
determination is substituted by a statistical measure of residuals, which are
5 defined as:

$$f(x_i) - y_i$$

where f is a fitting function; and
10 where y_i is an array of waveform data points.

19. The method of Claim 18, further comprising the step of:
rejecting a tablet if said coefficient of determination falls below a
preset value.

15

20. The method of Claim 19, further comprising the step of:
recording said rejection as a waveform error.

21. The method of Claim 20, further comprising the step of:
20 incrementing a counter, having a user selectable maximum
threshold, each time a tablet is consecutively rejected as a result of a waveform
error.

22. The method of Claim 21, further comprising the step of:
25 stopping said tablet compressing machine if said maximum count
threshold is reached.

23 The method of any of Claims 13 to 22, wherein said sampling occurs at a sampling frequency that is many times a frequency of said compression event.

5 24. The method of Claim 23, wherein said sampling frequency may be adjusted in proportion to a tablet press speed, such that a number of samples taken is substantially equal for each compression event .

10 25. The method of any of Claims 13 to 24, wherein said beginning and ending of said compression event is obtained by either of locating minimum amplitude samples, or using a separate signal that is derived from a transducer responsive to an angular position of a rotating turret.

26. The method of Claim 19, further comprising the steps of:
15 providing a second, preset minimum threshold for said coefficient of determination, where such second threshold is set to lower value than said first threshold to represent a waveform error associated with said tablet compressing machine; and
stopping operation of said tablet compressing machine if said
20 coefficient of determination falls below said second, lower threshold.

27. The method of any of Claims 13 to 26, further comprising the step of:
validating a compression waveform by comparing a calculated
position of a maximum pressing force with a position of tablet compressing
25 machine punches, as determined by a separate signal that is derived from a transducer which is responsive to a position of a tablet compressing machine turret.

28. The method of Claim 27, further comprising the steps of:
- producing a position error signal;
 - comparing said position error signal to a preset maximum limit which allows for normal mechanical tolerances in said tablet compressing machine; and
 - subsequently rejecting tablets if said limit is exceeded.




FIG. 1





FIG. 2

**FIG. 3**









FIG. 4

**FIG. 5****FIG. 6****FIG. 7**

X = MEASURED DATA POINTS
 — = IDEAL WAVEFORM
 | = RESIDUALS

**FIG. 8**

X = MEASURED DATA POINTS
— = IDEAL WAVEFORM
| = RESIDUALS

FIG. 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In: tional Application No
PCT/US 97/01358

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 B30B11/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 B30B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 93 09939 A (LAEIS & BUCHER GMBH) 27 May 1993	1,5,13
Y	see the whole document	2-4,6-8, 10,11
X	DE 29 51 716 A (MANNESMANN AG) 2 July 1981 see the whole document	1,13
X	DE 37 15 077 A (NETZSCH MASCHINENFABRIK) 1 December 1988 see the whole document	13,14, 23,25
Y	US 4 030 868 A (WILLIAMS JOSEPH JAMES) 21 June 1977 see claims; figures	2-4,6-8, 10,11
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 May 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

18.06.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Voutsadopoulos, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int ional Application No
PCT/US 97/01358

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE 0 431 269 A (FETTE WILHELM GMBH) 12 June 1991 cited in the application see claims ---	1-8,13, 27,28
A	WO 86 05743 A (THOMAS ENG INC) 9 October 1986 cited in the application see claims ---	1-8,13
A	US 4 570 229 A (BREEN DAVID T ET AL) 11 February 1986 cited in the application see claims -----	1,13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int ional Application No
PCT/US 97/01358

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9309939 A	27-05-93	CH 683243 A AT 123693 T CA 2100660 A CN 1073133 A DE 59202550 D EP 0567606 A JP 6504486 T US 5433903 A	15-02-94 15-06-95 16-05-93 16-06-93 20-07-95 03-11-93 26-05-94 18-07-95
DE 2951716 A	02-07-81	NONE	
DE 3715077 A	01-12-88	NONE	
US 4030868 A	21-06-77	US 4099239 A	04-07-78
EP 0431269 A	12-06-91	DE 3939956 A US 5145693 A US 5223192 A	06-06-91 08-09-92 29-06-93
WO 8605743 A	09-10-86	EP 0221091 A GB 2184681 A,B	13-05-87 01-07-87
US 4570229 A	11-02-86	NONE	